BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

FOX MORAINE, LLC	
Petitioner,	
v. (PCB 07- 146
UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE, CITY COUNCIL	
Respondent.)

NOTICE OF FILING

To: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this 24th day of September, 2008, George Mueller, one of the attorneys for Petitioner, Fox Moraine, LLC, filed via electronic filing of the attached **Motion to Compel Disclosure of Roth Report** with the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

FOX MORAINE, LLC

By: <u>/s/ George Mueller</u>
One of its Attorneys

Fox Moraine, LLC v. United City of Yorkville PCB No. 07-146

SERVICE LIST

PCB 2007-146 Bradley Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board

James R. Thompson Center 1000 West Randolph Street

Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

PCB 2007-146 Charles Helsten Hinshaw & Culbertson 100 Park Avenue P.O. Box 1389

Rockford, IL 61105-1389

PCB 2007-146 Leo P. Dombrowski

Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon

225 West Wacker Drive

Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-1229

PCB 2007-146 Thomas I. Matyas

Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon

225 West Wacker Drive

Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-1229

PCB 2007-146

Ms Valerie Burd, Mayor City of Yorkville

800 Game Farm Road Yorkville, IL 60560

PCB 2007-146 Eric Weis

Kendall County State's Attorney Kendall county Courthouse

807 John Street Yorkville, IL 60560

George Mueller Mueller Anderson, P.C. 609 East Etna Road Ottawa, Illinois 61350 (815) 431-1500 – Telephone (815) 431-1501 - Facsimile george@muelleranderson.com PCB 2007-146 Derke J. Price

Ancel, Glink, Diamond, Bush & Krafthefer

P.C.

140 South Dearborn Street

Sixth Floor

Chicago, IL 60603

PCB 2007-146 Jeffrey D. Jeep Jeep & Blazer, LLC 24 North Hillside Avenue

Suite A

Hillside, IL 60162

PCB 2007-146 Anthony Hopp

Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon

225 West Wacker Drive

Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-1229

PCB 2007-146 James B. Harvey

Buck, Hutchison & Ruttle 2455 Glenwood Avenue

Joliet, IL 60435

PCB 2007-146

Michael Roth, Interim City Attorney

City of Yorkville 800 Game Farm Road Yorkville, IL 60560

PCB 2007-146 James Knippen

Walsh Knippen Knight & Pollick

2150 Manchester Road

Suite 200

Wheaton, IL 60187-2476

Charles Helsten Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 100 Park Avenue Rockford, Illinois 61101 (815) 490-4900 - Telephone (815) 490-4901 - Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Twardowski, a non-attorney, certify that I served a copy of the foregoing **Notice of Filing** and **Motion to Compel the Disclosure of Roth Report** to the Hearing Officer and all Counsel of Record listed on the attached Service list, by sending it via Electronic Mail on September 24, 2008, before 5:00 p.m.

[x] Under penalties as provides by law pursuant to ILL. REV. STAT. CHAP. 110-SEC 1-109, I certify that the statements set forth Herein are true and correct

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

FOX MORAINE, LLC)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	PCB 07- 146
UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE,)	
CITY COUNCIL)	
Respondent.)	

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF ROTH REPORT

NOW COMES Fox Moraine Landfill, LLC hereinafter ("Fox Moraine"), by its attorneys, George Mueller and Charles Helsten and moves for disclosure by the City of Yorkville of the Michael Roth report submitted to City Council members on May 23, 2007, and in support thereof state as follows:

- 1. The public hearings before the Yorkville City Council on Fox Moraine's siting application commenced on March 7, 2007 and continued for many days until ending on April 23, 2007. While said hearings were occurring there was a municipal election on April 17, 2006, in which three new city council members and a new mayor were elected. These individuals were sworn in on May 8, 2006, at which time a new city attorney, Michael Roth was hired by the city council. An invoice from Michael Roth's law firm at that time, Wildman, Harrold, Allen and Dixon, attached hereto as Exhibit A, indicates however that various members of that firm were performing legal services for the City related to the landfill siting application as early as April 27, 2007.
- 2. On May 23, 2007, the city council began public deliberations on the siting application. May 23, 2007, was also the last day of the thirty day post hearing public comment period, and on that day, Fox Moraine filed supplemental materials with the City, the hearing officer, Larry Clark filed a report containing his findings and recommendations—and the city expert technical staff filed a report authored by attorney Derke Price with its findings and recommendations. Both the Clark report and the Price report are referenced in the City's final resolution and part of the record herein.

3. That it appears from the transcript of the proceedings of May 23, 2007, that the new city

attorney Michael Roth also filed a report with his findings and recommendations on that date. See pages

10 and 11 of the May 23, 2007, transcript attached hereto as Exhibit B.

4. At his discovery deposition on September 19, 2008, former Yorkville Alderman Wally

Werderich admitted that there was a supplemental filing by Roth on or about May 23, 2007. Werderich

however refused to answer questions about the subject and even the general contents of this submittal

including whether or not it contained a discussion of the evidence. See Werderich Deposition Excerpt

attached hereto as Exhibit C.

5. The Roth report is not part of the record filed by the City of Yorkville with this Board.

6. There is no privilege applicable to the Roth report any more that there would have been a

privilege applicable to the report authored by attorney Derke Price, which report was received by the city

council, allegedly considered by them and made part of the record. Fox Moraine as a matter of

fundamental fairness is entitled to know all of the materials considered by the city council in making its

decision.

WHEREFORE, Fox Moraine Landfill prays for an order compelling the City of Yorkville to

disclose the Roth report submitted to the city council members on May 23, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

FOX MORAINE, LLC

By: <u>/s/ George Mueller</u>

One of its attorneys

George Mueller MUELLER ANDERSON, P.C. 609 East Etna Road Ottawa, Illinois 61350 Telephone (815) 431-1500 Facsimile (815) 815-1501 Gmueller21@sbcglobal.net Charles Helsten Hinshaw and Culbertson, LLP 100 Park Avenue Rockford, Illinois 61101 Telephone (815) 490-4900 Facsimile (815) 490-4901 chelston@hinshawlaw.com

```
I three of you could be saying sited, not sited,
 I meeting isn't here to present this?
                                                                2 but basically, again, I've got to decide
 2
                MR. ROTH: It's the hearing
 3 officer's decision. I don't know.
                                                                3 basically what I took in on all those hearings,
                ALDERMAN MUNNS: I mean, we hired
                                                                4 my notes, and not your notes, and not Derke
                                                                5 Price's notes, why did we pay Derke Price and
 5 him and we paid him 40, $50,000, and he didn't
 6 show up and submits, you know, 50 pages at 4:30
                                                                6 Larry Clark $50,000 apiece to throw in comments
 7 on the day of the hearing, you know, it's kind of
                                                                7 at the last moment that aren't going to be
 8 hard to read through everything he's put in
                                                                8 considered?
                                                                                              (Applause)
 g there.
                               (Applause)
                                                                10
                                                                               ALDERMAN MUNNS: I don't know if you
10
               MR. ROTH: I think it's the hearing
                                                                II can answer that, I'm just throwing it out there.
11
                                                                               ALDERMAN GOLINSKI: I've got to
12 officer's decision not to come. I can tell you
13 that the purpose of the hearing is to -- is for
                                                               13 agree with Alderman Munns. Since Friday there's
14 deliberation by the City Council, it is not for
                                                               14 been a thousand pages of information filed. I
15 interaction with the audience or others, but as
                                                                15 don't know how we are expected to process that
16 to the hearing officer's non-attendance, I can't
                                                               16 and deliberate in any manner today.
                                                                               MAYOR BURD: We have a motion on the
17 speak to that.
                                                               17
                                                               18 floor that has to do with whether or not the ·
               ALDERMAN MUNNS: Not interaction
19 between us and Mr. Clark, but, again, if he gives
                                                               19 annexation - that we are going to approve or
20 us 50 pages of his recommendations, but not --
                                                               20 dismiss the matter of the annexation.
                                                                                    Does anybody want to speak to
21 but doesn't sit here and deliberate with us --
22 because I thought that's what we were supposed to
                                                               22 that issue? Do you have any -- concerns about
23 do and I thought we were supposed to deliberate
                                                               23 voting on that issue?
24 the criterion.
                                                               24
                                                                                              (No Response)
                                                     9
                                                                                                                   11
```

```
MR. ROTH: Well, you are. You are.
 2 He's not to deliberate. He was to make findings
 3 and recommendations --
                ALDERMAN MUNNS: Right.
                MR. ROTH: -- which he's done.
 5
                ALDERMAN MUNNS: But we are supposed
 7 to take his criterion that he put in into
 # account.
                MR. ROTH: Yes, you are.
 9
                ALDERMAN MUNNS: So if I got here at
10
11 6:55, how am I supposed to really read through
12 his stuff. So basically you're saying that Derke
13 Price's and your stuff and Clark's and this book
14 here is not going to be considered in my thought
15 process because I just got them. Is that what
16 you're saying? I want to know what the process
17 is, whether it's right or wrong.
               MR. ROTH: I am saying it's
19 problematic, the fact we didn't get the hearing
20 officer's findings and recommendations until
21 today is certainly a problem. It's been very
22 difficult for us to assimilate the information as
23 it is for you.
24
               ALDERMAN MUNNS: Because all of
```

```
MAYOR BURD: Then we will proceed
 2 with a roll call on that issue.
               MR. ROTH: Just to restate the
 4 motion. I had recommended that a motion be made
 5 to deny Kendall County's motion to dismiss for
 5 lack of jurisdiction. I believe a motion was
 7 made and seconded.
 8
               MS. PICKERING: Munns.
               ALDERMAN MUNNS: Aye,
 9
10
               MS. PICKERING: Plocher.
11
               ALDERMAN PLOCHER: Aye.
12
               MS. PICKERING: Spears.
               ALDERMAN SPEARS: Aye.
13
14
               MS. PICKERING: Sutcliff.
               ALDERMAN SUTCLIFF: Aye.
15
16
               MS. PICKERING: Besco.
               ALDERMAN BESCO: Point of
17
18 clarification. Are we voting in favor to dismiss
19 it or ave in favor --
               MR. ROTH: Aye in favor of denying
20
21 the motion to dismiss these proceedings.
22
               ALDERMAN BESCO: Aye. Thank you.
23
               MS. PICKERING: Leslie.
               ALDERMAN LESLIE: Aye.
24
                                                    12
```

WERDERICH EXERPT

- Q All right. There, there is an inference, Wally, in these transcripts that there was also a report or recommendations from Mike Roth. Do you remember whether you received anything like that that day?
 - A We did receive some information from, from our attorney. Yes.

...

MR. MUELLER: My question is, did the document contain a discussion of the evidence from the landfill hearing.

MR. DOMBROWSKI: I am instructing him not to answer.

Pp 58, 61